

# Incidence and Clinico-Pathological Study on Benign Breast Diseases

Assoc. Prof. Dr. M. Athar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. A.K. Chaudhary<sup>2</sup>, Mohd. Tahir<sup>3</sup> \*Dr. Ajay Kumar<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>Department of Surgery, GSVM Medical College, Kanpur, India

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** To study the incidence patterns of clinically benign breast disease in females and to co-relate them with the pathological findings.

**Methods:** This descriptive study was conducted in the Out Patients Department of General Surgery in Medical College Kanpur, India. A routine histopathological examination was done for the core biopsy and the excision biopsy samples and a cytohisto-correlation was also done. The clinical diagnosis, particularly in the case of the benign breast lumps, was compared with the cytological or the histological findings and the accuracy of the clinical diagnosis was evaluated.

**Results:** Out of the 108 female patients who were studied, fibroadenoma, accounted for 44.44% of the cases, which was the highest number of patients. Fibrocystic changes and breast abscesses came next with 16.66% and 9.2% cases respectively.

**Conclusion:** Benign breast diseases are common in female patients and fibroadenoma is the commonest of them all. Triple assessment provided a quick diagnosis and it alleviated unnecessary anxiety from the patients about breast cancer.

**KEYWORDS:** Benign breast disease, Risk factors, Pathology, Triple assessment

## ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

ISSN : 2456-1045 (Online)

(ICV-MDS/Impact Value): 72.30

(GIF) Impact Factor: 5.188

Publishing Copyright @ International Journal Foundation

Journal Code: ARJMD/MDS/V-44.0/I-1/C-2/DEC-2019

Category : MEDICAL SCIENCE

Volume : 44.0/Chapter- II/Issue -1(DECEMBER-2019)

Journal Website: [www.journalresearchijf.com](http://www.journalresearchijf.com)

Paper Received: 05.01.2020

Paper Accepted: 15.01.2020

Date of Publication: 20-01-2020

Page: 04-09

### Name of the Corresponding author:

Dr. Ajay Kumar\*

Department of Surgery, GSVM Medical College,  
Kanpur, India

## CITATION OF THE ARTICLE



Athar M., Chaudhary A.K., Tahir M., Kumar A. (2019) Incidence and Clinico-Pathological Study on Benign Breast Diseases; *Advance Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Discoveries*; 44(1) pp. 04-09

## I. INTRODUCTION

Benign Breast Diseases (BBDs) is a group of breast diseases which is not cancer. It is the most common cause of breast problems in females and it is more frequent than the malignant ones. In fact, it is at least 10 times more common than breast cancer in the west. Up to 30% of the women who suffer from BBDs will require treatment at some time in their lives.

A triple assessment which is done by a

- Clinical examination
- Imaging like ultrasonography (USG) or mammography and
- Pathological examination - FNAC or core needle biopsy,

During the initial consultation, allows a majority of the Patients with discrete BBDs to be given immediate reassurance. Since a majority of the benign lesions are not associated with an increased risk for subsequent breast cancer, unnecessary surgical procedures can be avoided. Making an early diagnosis and planning the treatment within 72 hours of the first consultation, helps in alleviating unnecessary anxiety about breast cancer and those BBDs patients with an increased risk of malignancy like atypical hyperplasia, are given a prompt treatment, a proper follow-up and awareness regarding the risk of breast cancer.

The popular classification of BBDs according to the Aberration of the Normal Development and Involution (ANDI) causes confusion due to a lack of clarity in distinguishing between the normal physiological changes and the pathologic ones.

One of the more satisfying classifications would be the one which was devised by Love S et al. [9], the so-called Nashville classification.

According to this, BBDs is classified by 2 systems.

**1. Pathologically**, BBDs is divided into

- (a) Non-proliferative lesions,
- (b) Proliferative lesions Section without atypia
- (c) Atypical proliferative lesions.

**2. Clinically**, BBDs is classified as

- (a) Physiologic swelling and tenderness,
- (b) Nodularity,
- (c) Breast pain,
- (d) Palpable lumps,
- (e) Nipple discharge and
- (f) Infections or inflammation.

In this study, we profiled the incidence of BBDs, the relative frequencies of the different types of BBDs and their clinical features. Secondly, we attempted at correlating the clinical and pathological findings wherever possible.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This descriptive study was conducted in the Out Patients Department of General Surgery in Medical College Kanpur, India. The patients were required to give written informed consents prior to their enrolment in the study and a Clearance was taken as per the institute's ethical committee guidelines.

### Inclusion criteria

Female patients with any benign disorder/disease of the breast-for example, a breast lump, breast pain or a nipple discharge were included.

### Exclusion criteria

Women with an obvious malignant disease or those who had been treated for malignancy earlier were excluded in this study. However, any patient who was diagnosed with carcinoma or proliferative lesions after she was clinically diagnosed as benign earlier was treated and included in this study.

A detailed history and a thorough physical examination were the basis of the study. After making an appropriate clinical diagnosis, one or more of the special investigations - FNAC, mammography, ultrasound or a core-needle biopsy were carried out for the confirmation of the diagnosis.

The FNAC smears were reported by using standardized diagnostic criteria by the same pathologist and they were categorized into non proliferative/proliferative without atypia/atypical proliferative lesion/frank carcinoma.

A routine histopathological examination was done for the core biopsy and the excision biopsy samples and a cytohisto-correlation was also done. The clinical diagnosis, particularly in the case of the benign breast lumps, was compared with the cytological or the histological findings and the accuracy of the clinical diagnosis was evaluated.



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4

## III. RESULTS

The patients were broadly divided into 3 groups, depending on their symptoms or presentations, such as a

- Breast lump,
- Breast pain and
- Nipple discharge.

The commonest presentation was breast lumps which comprised cases, out of which had associated complaints like breast pain and nipple discharge. More than one symptom was present for the same patient.

Among patients with breast pain, patients complained of breast pain (mastalgia) only, who were treated by using a conservative approach or Reassurance.

The rest had associated complaints like breast lumps and nipple discharges. Half of these had pain in both the breasts. The pain was cyclical in patients and it was non-cyclical in cases.

Among the cases with nipple discharges, only one case presented with nipple discharge only, without any associated lump or pain. The nipple discharge was blood in cases and it was serosanguinous fluid in cases, and only one case had a yellow discharge. The cause for cases was intraductal papilloma and for the rest, it was mammary duct ectasia.

**Table 1- The different types of presentations and their incidences.**

| SL           | Presentation                          | No. of patient | Percentage  |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1            | Breast lump only                      | 66             | 61.11%      |
| 2            | Breast lump + Pain                    | 22             | 20.37%      |
| 3            | Breast lump + Nipples discharge       | 4              | 3.7%        |
| 4            | Breast lump + Pain + Nipple discharge | 6              | 5.5%        |
| 5            | Breast Pain only                      | 9              | 8.3%        |
| 6            | Nipple discharge only                 | 1              | 0.09%       |
| <b>Total</b> |                                       | <b>108</b>     | <b>100%</b> |

**Table 2- Side wise distribution**

| Side involved  | No. of patient | Percentage  |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| RT Breast only | 52             | 48.14%      |
| LT Breast only | 42             | 38.88%      |
| Both breast    | 14             | 12.96%      |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>108</b>     | <b>100%</b> |

**Table 3- The age distribution of the patients (n=108)**

| Age in year | No. of patient | Percentage |
|-------------|----------------|------------|
| 0-10 year   | 1              | 0.09%      |
| 10-20 year  | 15             | 13.88%     |
| 20-30year   | 52             | 48.14%     |
| 30-40 year  | 28             | 25.92%     |
| 40-50 year  | 9              | 8.3%       |
| 50-60 year  | 2              | 1.8%       |
| 60-70 year  | 1              | 0.09%      |

The age distribution of the patients is given in Table 3 . The ages of the patients with BBDs ranged from 8 years to 68 years. The mean age at presentation was 28.4 years.

**Table 4-The incidences of different types of benign breast diseases**

| Diagnosis  | No. of patient | Percentage  |
|--|----------------|-------------|
| Fibro adenoma  | 48             | 44.44%      |
| Fibrocystic changes  | 18             | 16.66%      |
| Breast abscess   | 10             | 9.2%        |
| Phylloid   | 8              | 7.4%        |
| Nipple discharge Intraductal papilloma-2 Mammary duct ectasia -6 | 8              | 7.4%        |
| Traumatic fat necrosis   | 3              | 2.7%        |
| Mycetoma of breast   | 2              | 1.8%        |
| Galactocele  | 4              | 3.7%        |
| Proliferative disease with atypia                                | 4              | 3.7%        |
| Proliferative disease with florid hyperplasia                    | 2              | 1.8%        |
| Zuska disease  | 1              | 0.9%        |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>108</b>     | <b>100%</b> |

**Table 5- Quadrant topography of disease**

| Decease   | Total | UO | UI | LO | LI | Central | >IQ | bilateral |
|---|-------|----|----|----|----|---------|-----|-----------|
| Fibro adenoma   | 48    | 18 | 6  | 8  | 6  | 1       | 7   | 2         |
| Cyst sarcoma phylloid                                 | 8     | 4  | 1  | 1  | -  | -       | 2   | -         |
| Galaectocoel  | 4     | 2  | -  | -  | -  | -       | 2   | -         |
| Breast abscess  | 10    | 3  | 1  | 2  | 1  | -       | 3   | -         |
| Fibrocystic decease                                   | 18    | 2  | 1  | -  | 2  | -       | 3   | 10        |
| Duct ectasia / papilloma                              | 8     | -  | -  | -  | -  | 8       | -   | -         |
| Proliferative decease with atypia /florid hyperplasia | 6     | 4  | -  | -  | -  | -       | 2   | -         |
| Traumatic fat necrosis                                | 3     | 2  | 1  | -  | -  | -       | -   | -         |
| Mycetoma of breast                                    | 2     | 2  | -  | -  | -  | -       | -   | -         |
| Zuska desase  | 1     |    |    |    |    | 1       |     |           |

UO- upper outer, UI- upper inner, LO-lower outer, LI- lower inner, >IQ-more than one inner quadrant

**Table 6- Cytohistological correlation of BBD**

| Decease                           | FA | CSP | BSP | BEH | BDC | NSM |
|-----------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Fibro adenoma                     | 44 | -   | 2   | 1   | 1   | -   |
| Cystosarcoma phylloid             | 1  | 6   | -   | -   | 1   | -   |
| Galaectocoel                      | -  | -   | -   | -   | 4   | -   |
| Duct ectasia/papilloma            | -  | -   | -   | -   | 4   | -   |
| Proliferative decease with atypia | -  | -   | 2   | 3   | 1   | -   |
| Mycetoma of breast                | -  | -   | -   | -   | -   | 2   |
| Traumatic fat necrosis            | -  | -   | -   | -   | -   | 3   |
| Zuska decease                     | -  | -   | -   | -   | -   | 1   |

FA- Fibroadenoma, CSP-Cysto Sarcoma Phylloid, BSP-Benighn Subareolar Papillomatosis, BEH- Benign Epithelial Hyperplasia, BDC- Benign Ductal Cell, NSM- Non Specific Mastitis.

ADVANCE RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY DISCOVERIES

**Table 7- Various type of treatment procedure in BBD**

| Decease                           | Excision | Wide Excision | Simpal mastectomy | Incision and drainage | Conservative management |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Fibro adenoma                     | 48       | -             | -                 | -                     | -                       |
| Cystosarcoma phylloide            | 3        | 5             | -                 | -                     | -                       |
| Galaectocoel                      | 4        | -             | -                 | -                     | -                       |
| Breast abcess                     | -        | -             | -                 | 10                    | -                       |
| Fibrocystic desease               | 6        | 4             | -                 | -                     | 8                       |
| Duct ectasia/papilloma            | 8        | -             | -                 | -                     | -                       |
| Proliferative desease with atypia | -        | 4             | 2                 | -                     | -                       |
| Myectoma of breast                | 2        | -             | -                 | -                     | -                       |
| Zuska desease                     | -        | -             | -                 | -                     | 1                       |
| Traumatic fat necrosis            | 1        | -             | -                 | -                     | -                       |

#### IV. DISCUSSION

Benign Breast Diseases includes a heterogeneous group of conditions which range from normal, to aberrations in the physiology, to frank disease. The patients of BBDs generally present with one or more of these complaints – breast lump, breast pain or nipple discharge. It has been recommended that all the patients with discrete breast lumps should undergo a triple assessment to make an early diagnosis. By this approach, we provided the diagnoses of most of the benign breast conditions within 72 hours of the initial consultation. In the study of Foncroft LM et al., [10], they found that 87.4% of the women who attended the Wesley Breast Clinic had presented with breast lumps, while in the series of Ratana Chaikanont T [11], a breast lump was the presenting symptom in 72.35% of the 331 benign breast patients. The corresponding figure for our study was 90%. Fibroadenomas (figure 1,2) accounted for 44.44% of the benign breast lumps in our study. Our finding was in agreement with most of the available literature on benign breast lumps, where the frequency of fibroadenoma ranged from 46.6%-55.6% [12]-[15].

The peak incidence of fibroadenoma ranged from the 2nd to the 3rd decade of life, which was consistent with the findings of other studies. FNAC was the quickest and the most reliable method which helped in making the diagnoses of the breast lumps.

The fibrocystic changes were the next common condition in our study and a majority of the patients belonged to the 3rd and 4th decades. The incidence varies geographically. Many authors like Adesunkanmi AR and Agbakwuru EA and Ihekwa FN found that the incidence of the fibrocystic changes ranged from 29.5-42.2% for the benign breast lumps [12]-[13]. We had a slightly smaller figure, with 16.66%.

The mean age at presentation was 28.4 years. In the age group of 21-30 years, there were 52 patients. This was almost similar to the observation which was made by Navneet Kaur et al [16].

The incidence of breast pain in our series was 34.25%, which was nearly equal to the breast pain series, which ranged from 12.8%- 30.3% [11]-[17]. Leis HP et al., [18] reported that the incidence of breast discharge was only 10.18% of all the breast complaints in his study, which was almost equal to the 8% incidence which was found in our study.

Out of the 11 cases of nipple discharge, 2 were intraductal papilloma with bloody nipple discharges and 6 were mammary duct ectasia. The treatment of the nipple discharge must be done first, to exclude carcinoma on occult blood test and cytology. A simple reassurance may then be sufficient, but if the discharge is proving to be intolerable, an operation must be done to remove the affected duct or ducts [8]. A total excision of 2 cases of intraductal papilloma was done. Mammary duct ectasia generally does not require surgery and it should be managed conservatively [19]. We treated 6 cases of mammary duct ectasia by using a conservative management.

The incidence of benign breast diseases begins to rise in the 2nd decade and it peaks in the 4th or 5th decades as compared to the malignant lesions, for which the incidence continues to rise after menopause [20]-[22]. In the study of Dupont and Page [23], atypical hyperplasia was identified in only 2.7% of the biopsy samples. In our study, 4 patients with breast lumps had proliferative lesion with atypia on the biopsy samples, which was 3.7% of the total 108 biopsy samples which were taken.

The findings of our study were almost the same as those of their studies. However, our samples were smaller in number than theirs. We advised follow up every 3 months for both the low and high risk categories, since some studies have shown the progression of the low risk category to carcinoma [24]. The risk factors for carcinoma of the breast were explained to the patients, which is a two-fold increased risk for developing Ca breast in florid hyperplasia and a four-fold increase risk in proliferative lesions with atypia. Out of 108, 3 (2.7%) patient diagnosed traumatic fat necrosis, 2 (1.8%) patient mycetoma of breast

Out of 108 case, 2 (1.8%) case mycetoma of breast (figure 3), 3 (2.7%) case traumatic fat necrosis, 1 (0.09%) case Zuska desease (figure 4).

The only case with invasive breast cancer was treated in our hospital and she was advised follow up every 3 months. Currently, there is a controversy over the classification of the proliferative lesions and the microscopic risk assessment, which have less relevance in the clinical practice. So, there is a need for non morphologic markers (genetic/molecular) so that chemoprevention agents can be used as an alternative to surgery and so that the histo-pathological criteria can be refined for the risk assessment [25].

## V. CONCLUSIONS

Benign breast diseases is a common problem in women. A lump in the breast is the commonest presentation. Breast pain and nipple discharge are the other symptoms. Most of the patients have more than one symptom. The commonest age group which is affected is the 21-30 years age group. Among the breast lumps, fibroadenoma is the commonest, followed by fibrocystic changes and breast abscesses. The other lumps are relatively uncommon. Breast pain may occur alone or in association with a lump or a nipple discharge. The incidence of cyclical pain is 20% and that of non-cyclical pain is 13%. The nipple discharge, particularly if it is serous or greenish, is harmless. The clinical diagnoses of the benign breast lumps were accurate in 91.95 % cases.

The risk factors for developing invasive carcinoma in the patients with proliferative lesions were also identified and the patients were advised follow-up. Since there is no consensus on the morphologic risk markers, in future, molecular genetic markers may help in the risk stratification, which will help in a better clinical management.

## VI. REFERENCES

- [1] **Khemka A, Chakravarti N, Shah S, Patel V.** Palpable breast lumps: Fine needle aspiration cytology versus histopathology, a correlation of diagnostic accuracy. *Internet J Surgery.* 2009;18:1.
- [2] **Cole P, Mark Elwood J, Kaplan SD.** Incidence rates and risk factors of benign breast neoplasms. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1978;108:112-20
- [3] **Hutchinson WB, Thomas DB, Hamlin WB, et al.** Risk of breast cancer in women with benign breast lesion. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1980;65:13-20.
- [4] **Kelsey JL, Gammon MD.** Epidemiology of breast cancer. *Epidemiol Rev.* 1990;12:228-40
- [5] **Sarnelli R, Squartini F.** Fibrocystic condition and "at risk" lesions in asymptomatic breasts, a morphologic study of post-menopausal women. *Clin Exp. Obstet Gynecol.* 1991;18:271-79
- [6] **Cook MG, Rohan TE.** The patho-epidemiology of benign proliferative epithelial disorder of the female breast. *J Pathol.* 1985;146:1-15. ]
- [7] **Mansel RE.** Benign breast disease. *Practitioner.* 1982;232:830-37.
- [8] **Sainsbury RC.** Bailey and Love's Short Practice of Surgery. 25th. London: Edward Arnold Ltd.; 2008. Breast In: Norman WS, Bulstrode CJK, P.Ronan O'Connell editors; pp. 827-35.
- [9] **Love SM, Gelman RS, Silen W.** Fibrocystic disease of the breast - a non disease? *N Eng J Med.* 1982;309:1010-14.
- [10] **Foncroft LM, Evans EB, Hirst C, Hicks BJ.** Presentation and diagnosis of adolescent breast disease. *Breast.* 2001;10(5):399-404.
- [11] **Ratanachaikamont T.** Clinical breast examination, palpable breast lesion. *J Med Assoc Thai.* 2005;88(4):505-07.
- [12] **Adesunkami AR, Agbakwuru EA.** Benign breast disease at Wesley Guild Hospital, Ilesha, Nigeria. *West Afr J Med.* 2001;20(2):146-51. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [13] **Ihekwa FN.** Benign breast disease in Nigerian women: a study of 657 patients. *J R Col Surg Edin.* 1994;39(5):280-03. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [14] **Florica JV.** Fibrocystic changes. *O and G Clin N am.* 1994;21(3):445-59. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [15] **Greenberg R, Skornick Y, Kaplan O.** Management of breast fibroadenomas. *J Gen Inter Med.* 1998;13:640-05. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [16] **Kaur Navneet, Agarwal Nitin, Parwar Pankaj.** Clinicopathologic profile of benign breast conditions in Indian women. Prospective study based on aberrations of normal development and involution classification. *World J Surgery.* 2012;36:2252-58. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [17] **El-Wakeel HC.** Systematic reviews of fibroadenomas as a risk factor on breast cancer. *Breast.* 2003;12:302-07. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [18] **Leis HP Jr.** Commarata A, Laraja RD. Nipple discharge significant and treatment. *Breast.* 1985;11:6. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [19] **Sakorafas GH.** Nipple discharge: Current diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2001;27:275-82. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [20] **Londen SJ, Conmolly JL, Schmitt SJ.** A prospective study of benign breast disease and the risk of breast cancer. *JAMA.* 1992;267:941-44. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [21] **McDivitt RW, Stevens JA, Lee NC.** Histologic types of benign breast disease and the risk for breast cancer. *Cancer.* 1992;69:1408-14. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]

- [22] **LaVecchia C, Parazzini F, Franceschi S.** Risk factors for benign breast disease and their relation with breast cancer risk. Pooled information from epidemiologic studies. *Tumori*. 1985;71:167-78. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [23] **Dupont WD, Page DL.** Risk factors for breast cancer in women with proliferative disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1985;312:146-51. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [24] **Wang Jiping, Joseph PC, Tan-Chiu Elizabeth, Wickerham Lawrence, Paik Soonmyung, Wolmark Norman.** Low category benign breast disease and the risk of invasive breast cancer. *Journal of the national cancer institute*. 2004;96:8. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [25] **Rosen Paul Peter.** *Rosen's Breast Pathology*. 3rd. Vol. 10. Lippincott Williams and Wilkinson Ltd.; 2009. pp. 264-84. [[Google Scholar](#)]

\*\*\*\*\*